

Welchmann's Testimony Did Not Convict Her of Complicity in Lincola's Murder -A Letter From One of Her Judges.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN-Sir: I notice in a recent issue of THE SUN that Mr. L. J. Weichmann, who was a prominent witness for the Government in the conspiracy trials of 1865 and 1867, has been made the object of an attack by Mr. J. P. Brophy of your city.

Mr. Brophy's statement is quite true that Mr. Weichmann had no information whatever that Mrs. Surratt or any member of her family were involved in the conspiracy in any way, and he has never said to the contrary. When he took the witness stand in 1865, he felt that his evidence would not criminate her, and that she was entirely innocent so far as he knew.

The remarkable cross-examination, which follows, is confirmatory of this, and I ask you in all justice to a very worthy man to publish it:

Cross-examination by Mr. Johnson: Q. During the whole of that period, you never heard him (Surratt) intimate that it was his purpose, or that there was a purpose to assassinate the President? A. Never, sir .

Q. You never heard him say anything on the subject, or anybody else during the whole period from November until the assassination? A. No, sir,

Q. During the whole of that period what was her (Mrs. Surratt's) character? A. It was excellent; I have known her since 1863.

Q. You have been living at her house since November? A. Since November.

Q. During the whole of that time, as far as you could judge, was her character apparently good and amiable? A. Her character was exemplary and ladylike in every particular.

Q. Was she a member of the church? A. Yes, sir.

Q. A regular attendant? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Of the Catholic Church? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Are you a Catholic? A. Yes, sir; I am a Catholic.

Q. Have you been to church with her? A. I generally accompanied her to church every Sunday.

O. As far then, as you can judge, her conduct in a religious and in a moral sense was altogether exemplary? A. Yes, sir. She went to her religious duties, at least, every two weeks.

Q. Then, if I understand you, from November up to the 14th of April, whenever she was here, she was regular in her attendance at her own church, and apparently as far as you could judge doing all her duties to God and to man? A. Yes, sir.

By Doster: Q. You do not know of any conversation that passed between Atzerodt and Booth or between Atzerodt and Payne having reference to a conspiracy? A. No, sir,

Q. Have you ever heard any conversation having reference to Payne's assignment to the assassination of the Secretary of State? A. No. sir.

By Aiken: Q. What was your object in being so swift to give all this information? A. My object was to assist the Government.

O. Were any threats made to you by any officer of the Government in case you did not divulge? A. No, sir; no threats at all. Q. Any inducements? A. No, sir; no in-ducements at all. I myself had a great deal to fear. Being in this house where these people were, I knew that I would be brought into public notice, but as for myself being cognizant of anything of this kind, I had, no

fears at all for I was not cognizant. When I surrendered myself to the Government I surrendered myself because I thought it was my duty. It was hard for me to do so situated as I was with Mrs. Surratt and her family, and with John Surratt, but it was my duty, and my duty I have always regarded it since. I had not a word of private conversation with these people which 1 would not be willing to have the world hear.

Q. You state that all the prisoners at the bar were free and unreserved in your presence in their conversation? A. They spoke in my presence on general topics, and so on; but as to their private conversation they never spoke to me.

Q. Do I understand you as stating to the court that in all your conversation with them you never learned of any intended treasonable purpose or act or conspiracy of theirs? A. No. sir.

Q. You never did? A. No, sir,

Q. You never did? A. No, sir, Q. You were not suspicious of anything of the sort? A. I would have been the last man in the world to suspect John Surrat, my schoolmate, of the murder of the Presi-dent of the United States. I looked upon Atzerodt, as did every one in the house, as a good-hearted countryman. O. And did you still profess to be a friend of his (John H. Surratt) at the time you were giving this information to the War Depart-ment that you speak of? A. I was a friend as far as the, himself, was concerned; but when my suspicions as to the danger of the Government, in any particular, were con-

Government, in any particular, were con-cerned I preferred the Government to John Surratt. I did not know what he was con-templating. He said he was going to engage cotton speculation; he was going to engage

0. If you did not know what he was con-0. If you did not know forfeit your friend Q. If you did not know what he was con-templating how could you forfeit your friend-ship to him? What is the rationale of that? A. I never forfeited my friendship to him; he forfeited his friendship to me. O. Not by engaging in the cotton specu-lation? A. No, sir: by placing me in the position in which I now am-testifying against him.

lation? A. No, sir: by placing me in the position in which I now am—testifying against hir». Now let me say in all candor, and I speak by the record as a member of the commis-sion, that if was not Welchmann's evidence at all that condemned Mrs. Surrat, and it is high time that the world should know it. Had it depended on what he said not a hair of her head would have been harmed. The man who did the mischlef was John M. Lloyd, who was charged by the Govern-ment in 1867 with being in the conspiracy himself. He had rented Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in December, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in December, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in becomber, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in becomber, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in becomber, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in becomber, 1864. He testleed that Mrs. Surrat's prop-erty at Surrattsville in beak the and there gave him Booth's field glass, and at the same time told him to have the "shooting irons" ready (earbines) and two bottles of whiskey to be given to the parties who would call for them. Sure enough, at 12 o'clock, Herold and Booth came dashing down to Lloyd's Herold crimg out, "Lloyd, for God's sake make haste and get those things," and Lloyd brought out the two carbines, the two bot-tles of whiskey and the field glass. "T can take only one of the carbines, shouted Booth. "I have broken my leg," and then as he rode away, he said, "Lloyd, I will tell you some news: we have murdered the President, and assassinated the Secretary of State." That was the principal evidence, the one chief overt act of which Mrs. Surratt was shown to have been guilty, and in all these thirty years it has not been disproved, nor is it possible to disprove it. Who told hap that those deadly shooting irons were secreted there? Who informed her that they would he wanted thei night?

that those deadly shooting irons were secreted there? Who informed her that they would be wanted that night? Who but Booth who had met her in her parlor before she left the country? What was she doing there for

The evidence further showed that three weeks before the assassination, about March 23, her own sor, David Herold and George A. Atzerodt had secreted them at Mr. Lloyd's

A. Atzerodt had secreted them at Mr. Lloyd's place, and against his protest. Mrs. Surratt tells Weichmann that she is going down into the country to see about collecting a debt due her by one Mr. Nothy; yet she does not see Nothy at all, does not go near him, although he lived only three miles further away. She had seen him about this matter the Tuesday before. April 11. and had an interview of two hours with him. Her excuse to Mr. Weichmann was a blind to deceive him as to the nature of her real business, and his answer to the Secretary of War, who questioned him very sternly

about this, was that "She had told him that she was going down there about this debt due her

due her." But there was other evidence. It will be remembered that on the night of April 17 Payne returned to her house, with pick-axe on his shoulder and cap made from his shirt sleeve on his head, just at the time when she was about to be arrested, and when she was confronted with him, at a distance of only two paces, she denied with sacred oth and uplifted hand that she knew him. (Testimony of three War Department of-ficers-Wemmerskirch, Morgan and Major Smith.)

Smith." Yet this very man, the brutal assallant of Mr. Seward with the red stains of blood still on his clothing, and standing in Booth's boots, had, according to the testimony of every one in the house, been there twice, answering to different names, and on the last occasion taking his meals at her table, and ather very side, for four days, and still be did not know him. The very act of this red-handed murderer fiself, the strongest and most damning evi-dence against her. Take away these two items of evidence— havne's return, whe them out, remove them from the record, and Mr. Weichmann's evi-dence as to what he saw and heard in Mrs. Surratt's house during the four months he-was there falls harmlessity to the ground. To not know that Mr. Weichmann needs any vindication at my hands. Of him the prosecution said in 1865, that "the defence had not contradicted a single fact to which he had testilled, nor had they found a single breach of suspicion against his character. A higher tribute was never paid a man inder such trying circumstances. The truth is there is not a member of that commission who has failed to reach the age of 20. Gen. David Hunter died at the age of 20. Gen. David Hunter died at the age of 20. Gen. David Hunter died at the age of 20. Gen. Ekn at T: Gen. How at 27. Gens. Knutz and Clendennin over 70. M the living, clew Wallac to reach of that commission who has failed to reach that age of 22. Judge Advocate General Holt died in 184 at 87, and Judge Birnett, the only remaining Judge Advocate of the trial, is at and col. Tompkins over 70, and here 4 am ag of 32. Judge Advocate of the trial, is at mid so hims, splendid work as the at-the south as failed work as the at-in so at a stong splendid work as the at-in song a slash bear the ads to reach that dai is numuti Gen. Barnes, whose hand had rested on Stanton's last beart beat, contradicted it. The member, how, too, for more than thad is run until Gen. Barnes, whose hand had rested on Stanton's last beart beat, contradicted it

Maryland, but he does not tell us that he was to do the driving. That was left for Atzerodt to reveal in his confession. Had John H. Surratt then done his whole duty in exposing the matter to Mr. Stanton the tragic occur-rences of April 14 would have had no place in our history. Respectfully. T.M. HARRIS. Member of the Commission.